

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/747,853 | 12/29/2003 | Paul J. Datta | 659-1713 (K-C Case No. 19 | 2759 | |
| 757 | 7590 08/09/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| BRINKS H P.O. BOX 1 | OFER GILSON & LIC | ANDERSON, C | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | | |
| CHICAGO, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3761 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | L |
|--|--|---|--------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/747,853 | DATTA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | C. Lynne Anderson | 3761 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address - | He . |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a good will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al | CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | · |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | 9 June 2006. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T | his action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | wance except for formal matt | ers, prosecution as to the merits | s is |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicati | ion. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-17 and 24-26</u> is | s/are withdrawn from conside | eration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,18-21 and 23</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a | accepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | he drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | rection is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 | :1(d). |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-152 | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) Some * c) None of: | | 3 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | polication No. | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | | • | • |
| application from the International Bur | • | received in this Hational Glage | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | | received. | |
| | · | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/03. | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-9 and 18-23 in the reply filed on 19 June 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions are not distinct. This is not found persuasive because since the article of Group I is capable of being made by alternate methods to that claimed in Group II, Groups I and II are distinct.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 18-19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schaar (3,978,861).

Schaar discloses an absorbent article, as shown in figure 9, comprising a body chassis comprising a body panel C and an extension fold 48. The extension fold 48 is folded over defining a folded edge 50 along the waist edge, as shown in figure 9. The extension fold 48 has an overlying relationship with a first portion of the body panel, and terminates in a free edge 48A that is not connected to the body panel, as shown in figure 9. The body panel C has a second portion that is not in an overlying relationship with the extension fold 48, as shown in figure 9. An absorbent insert 36 is connected to

Art Unit: 3761

the body panel C, and fastener members 61 connect to the body side surface of the extension fold 48 and the second portion of the body panel, as shown in figure 10A.

With respect to claim 2, the extension fold 48 is foldable between a first configuration, as shown in figure 9, and a second configuration, as shown in figure 13A, the second configuration having a greater length than the first.

With respect to claim 3-5, the garment side surface of the extension fold 48 is secured to the first portion of the body panel, as shown in figure 9, by means of adhesive 56. Adhesive is able to be released, and therefore the extension fold is releasably secured to the first portion.

With respect to claim 6, the fastener member 61 is a continuous element that extends from the body side surface of the extension fold to the second portion of the body panel, as shown in figure 10A.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, a second garment closing fastener member 56 is located on the body side surface of the second portion of the body panel, as shown in figure 2.

With respect to claim 18, the extension fold 48 is gathered by a first amount, which is greater than the gathering of the body panel, as shown in figure 9.

With respect to claim 19, the extension fold 48 is retractable a first distance, as shown in figure 13A, and a second distance, as shown in figure 12A, the first distance being greater than the second.

Art Unit: 3761

With respect to claim 23, the difference between the first and second distances is between 1-60% of the initial length of the extension fold 48 and first portion, as shown in figures 12A and 13A.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaar (3,978,861) in view of Fell et al. (2002/0169432).

Schaar discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the body panel comprising front and rear body panels being spaced apart by a gap, wherein the absorbent insert bridges the gap. Fell teaches the equivalence of an absorbent article having front and rear body panels and an absorbent insert wherein the front and rear body panels are either spaced apart, as shown in figure 15, or connected through the crotch region, as shown in figure 12. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the article of Schaar with the front and rear body panels spaced apart, since Fell teaches the functional equivalence of the front and rear body panels being either spaced apart or connected.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schaar (3,978,861).

Schaar discloses all aspects of the claimed invention with the exception of the difference of the first and second distances being between 0.10 and 6.0 inches. Schaar remains silent to the length of the extension fold and to the overall length of the article. However, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to make the difference between the first and second distances between 0.10 and 6.0 inches, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, finding the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to disclose the claimed invention. Specifically, the closest prior art of record, U.S. Patent 3,978,861, fails to disclose any elastic elements in either the extension fold or the first portion of the article. U.S. Patent 6,083,212, made of record but not relied upon, teaches an article having an extension fold and a first portion, but the elastic members are located in the first portion of the article, and the extension fold is free of elastic, as shown in figures 2 and 3. Therefore, a greater number of elastic members in the extension fold than in the first portion, in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim, is not disclosed nor suggested in the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Page 6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patents 6,083,212 and 6,254,583 disclose absorbent articles having folded waist portions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3761

CVA cla

August 4, 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER